Psychology Faculty Scholarship   Psychology

5-2024

Renewal of Retroactive Interference in Pavlovian conditioning: The Effect of Outcome Valence on Expectancy Learning and Evaluative Conditioning

Jérémie Jozefowiez
Université de Lille, jeremie.jozefowiez@univ-lille.fr

James E. Witnauer

Kristina A. Stenstrom

Audrey Huff

Ralph R. Miller
Binghamton University--SUNY, rmiller@binghamton.edu

The spreadsheet "Renewal of retroactive interference" contains the raw data for Jozefowiez, Witnauer, Stenstrom, Huff, & Miller (2024) Renewal of retroactive interference in Pavlovian conditioning: The effect of outcome valence on expectancy learning and evaluative conditioning.

For each Experiment, there are 4 spreadsheets:
- Info contains the age and gender information for the participants.
- Prediction contains the prediction ratings. For each participant, the 3 ratings provided for a condition are provided after the name of the condition. The names of the conditions indicate which interference treatment the participant went through (Ctr, CC-NEG, NFE, CC-POS), and whether testing occurred in the acquisition (ABA) or interference context (ABB).
- Valence contains the valence ratings. The nomenclature is the same as the one used for the prediction ratings.
- Outcome contains the final outcome ratings. For each participant, the 5 ratings provided for a stimulus are given following the stimulus identifier. Here is the correspondence between the names of the stimuli and the stimulus sets described in the article
    - Negative1 - 8 = Negative 2 (Animals)
    - Negative 9 - 16 = Negative 1 (Humans)
    - Neutral 1 - 8 = Neutral 1 (Kitchen)
    - Neutral 9 - 16 = Neutral 2 (Clothes)
    - Positive 1 - 8 = Positive 2 (Animals)
    - Positive 9 - 16 = Positive 1 (Humans)